Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/647,538	HOSODA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vishal Patel	3679
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Vishal Patel</u> .	(3)	
(2) Herbert I. Cantor (attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 7		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN In claim 7, line 11, "s" is replaced bya	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation. 	the examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	Mary and the second	
Vishal Tutel		
(Examiner/SEE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)